1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION				
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA §				
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA § CRIMINAL ACTION VS. § NO. 2:21-CR-025-Z (01) BART WADE REAGOR				
5	§ NO. 2:21-CR-025-Z (01) BART WADE REAGOR				
6					
7	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING ON OPENING STATEMENTS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MATTHEW J. KACSMARYK				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
9	OCTOBER 11, 2021				
10	VOLUME I OF I				
11	AMARILLO, TEXAS				
12					
13	A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S				
14	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: MR. JEFFREY R. HAAG and				
15	MS. AMANDA R. BURCH Assistant United States Attorneys				
16	1205 Texas Ave., 7th Floor Lubbock, Texas 79401				
17	AND				
18	MR. JOSHUA FRAUSTO				
19	Assistant United States Attorney 500 South Taylor, LB 238				
20	Amarillo, Texas 79101-2442				
21					
22	FOR THE DEFENDANT: MR. DAN L. COGDELL and MR. NICHOLAS HAMILTON NORRIS				
23	Jones Walker, LLP 811 Main Street, Suite 2900				
24	Houston, Texas 77002				
25	AND				

1	ALSO FOR DEI	FENDANT:	MR. DARREN TRAY PAYNE and MR. MATTHEW DANE POWELL
2			Payne, Powell & Truitt Law Group 2529 74th Street
3			Lubbock, Texas 79423
4			
5	COURT REPOR	ΓER:	MS. STACY MAYES MORRISON Official Court Reporter
6			205 E. 5th, LB #F13263 Amarillo, Texas 79101
7			(806) 672-6219
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	Proceedings produced by	reported b computer.	y mechanical stenography; transcript
		•	

PROCEEDINGS FOR OCTOBER 11, 2021

(The following took place in open court with the defendant present.)

THE COURT: Okay. You may be seated. And now the Court is prepared to offer guidance to the parties on the contours of opening statements.

There's multiple motions on file regarding testimony that is still subject to the Court's ruling on anticipated invocation of Fifth Amendment privilege. This Court will instruct Defense Counsel to not make reference to that witness.

To date, this Court has not yet ruled on whether the invocation of privilege is well founded. The Court will do it at that time. Until such material is before the Court, it should not be presented in opening statement, but depending on what is elicited at that moment, and if there's any direct and cross-examination or exhibits offered, the Court will allow you to make use of it in closing argument.

But, at this point, the Court is instructing and ordering Defense Counsel to not make reference to that particular witnesses and their invocation of the Fifth Amendment should that happen.

MR. COGDELL: To be clear, I do not intend on making reference to that witness' testimony or certainly any potential invocation.

1 I do intend to reference facts regarding that 2 witness because they are inexplicably intertwined with the 3 facts in this case. 4 THE COURT: Okav. Because opening statements are 5 different than closing arguments, and there is no correlative 6 evidence that yet relates to that particular witness, the 7 Court is ordering you not to make reference to the 8 prosecution or non-prosecution of that witness --9 MR. COGDELL: Absolutely. 10 THE COURT: -- the invocation of the Fifth 11 Amendment right against self-incrimination. And because the 12 parties weren't able to reach agreed stipulation on the facts 13 of what that witness --14 MR. COGDELL: Yes, sir. 15 **THE COURT**: -- might testify to, you're not 16 permitted to do that either. 17 The Court has preliminarily determined under 18 Rule 401, 403, and the Order in Limine, specifically Page 4, 19 Paragraph L, and in reliance on Fifth Circuit Pattern Jury 20 Instruction 1.21, at this point, the Court will not allow 21 parties, either for the Government or the Defense, to go into 22 other proceedings. 23 So, for the Government, Mr. Haag, you may not make 24 reference to parallel proceedings that might involve the 25 check-kiting, the floor-plan fraud, or the wire fraud that

was involved in other parallel proceedings.

And then, in turn, the Defendant may not make reference to any parallel civil proceedings, including bankruptcy, civil, or tort proceedings, and also the underlying facts therein.

So we are going to run this trial based on the elements that appear in the Indictment that will be charged to the jury.

I am reserving for further adjudication whether this witness' invocation of the Fifth Amendment privilege will elicit any testimony or exhibits, of course, subject to cross-examination. I'll make the various findings and rulings on that.

Should it prove that there are materials that are relevant, that are probative and outweigh any substantial harm or prejudice, at that time, I'll permit the parties to go a little bit deeper into that evidence, but at this point, I don't see that it's relevant. I don't see that it's probative value outweighs the potential for harm or prejudice, and so it will not be referenced in opening statements.

MR. COGDELL: Now, when you say "it," Your Honor, you're referring to the parallel civil proceedings and the other criminal cases?

THE COURT: I'm referring to the witness that has

been the subject of sealed litigation in this case, various motions. Do not make reference to what he did or did not do.

I understand your assertion that those facts are inexplicably linked, but I have been very adamant to the Government, we are not trying this case on the various check-kiting, floor plan, dummy shucking, or wire fraud that I have seen in multiple sentencings before this bench already.

That was not charged. That was not indicted in this case. I will not make -- I will not allow the Government to make reference to that. I will also not allow the Defendant to make reference to the individual witness that's the subject of that sealed motions practice, because it is at this time not relevant. It's potentially prejudicial. It outweighs the probative value to the jury at this point.

But, as you both know, we anticipate the witness presenting subject to the Sixth Amendment and your right to compel that. We will make findings. The Court will find whether -- you know, if that witness invokes his Fifth Amendment, whether that is well founded.

And, at that point, if the evidence develops, then

I will allow you to carry that forward in the case and then

also to closing argument, but, at this point, it's simply not
relevant.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will not allow the Government to try a case based on guilt by association, nor will I allow the Defense to try a case of not guilty by association. So we are not doing this case by association, but instead based on the elements that are reflected in the Indictment that will appear in the charge. And I know we have a Charge Conference to get to. And, at this point, I won't let the Government get into ancillary facts, backgrounds, proceedings that I have personally adjudicated at sentencing in multiple hearings. Ι know that there is a denominator of facts that are broader than this case in this Indictment, but I won't let the Government try a case guilt by association. I will not allow the Defendant to try a case of not guilty by association. So we are doing it based on the Indictment that is present, and you will not make reference to that witness in your opening statements except for biographical or personal background, not as it relates to any parallel proceeding. Are those instructions clear to the Government? MR. HAAG: Yes. THE COURT: Are those instructions clear to the Government? MR. HAAG: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Are those instructions clear to the Defendant? So, to give you an example, I know that the

Indictment references floor plans as a basic structure to the enterprise, but floor-plan schemes as they relate to parallel criminal proceedings will not be referenced and will not be presented either in statement or any part of this case.

In the same way, I will not allow various facts that correlate to civil proceedings and investigations and things of that sort to be referenced.

When that witness appears, we will adjudicate the Fifth Amendment issues that are pending and under seal, and I know this is an open court proceeding, so I don't want to violate the confidence both sides have worked hard to preserve.

But, again, at this point, at opening statements, which are different than arguments post-evidence, this Court will not allow reference to those facts.

Is that clear to the Defense?

MR. COGDELL: It is not, Your Honor. I don't know how I can make an opening statement talking about the dealerships and the individuals involved in the dealerships without mentioning the relevant parties.

THE COURT: You can mention the party without mentioning the alleged malfeasance that was the target of an investigation, a parallel civil proceeding, a parallel bankruptcy proceeding.

Official Court Reporter

MR. COGDELL: I don't intend to -- for the record,

```
1
     I went over my PowerPoint with Mr. Haag and showed him the
     references that are the topic of this discussion, and he had
 2
 3
     no objection to them.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. And those were due by noon, and
 5
     I did not receive those copies. Have the parties now
 6
     finalized the agreed slides that will be presented in opening
 7
     statement?
               MR. HAAG: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. That may help and make sure that
10
    we're all on the same page. Can you present those --
11
               MR. COGDELL: I can send them --
12
               THE COURT: -- in final form?
13
               MR. COGDELL: -- electronically, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Okay.
15
               MR. COGDELL: I can do that electronically.
16
               THE COURT: Okay. And if I don't see anything in
     those slides that runs afoul of what the Court intends here,
17
18
     I think that will provide additional guidance, but I know we
19
     have an early start time, so if you will submit those
20
     electronically, they were due at noon, so they are untimely,
21
     but I know we have all been working hard on an accelerated
22
     pace.
23
                         May I approach, Your Honor?
               MR. HAAG:
24
               THE COURT: You may approach.
25
          (Defense attorneys' sotto-voce conference.)
```

```
MR. COGDELL: It's open -- it's Reagor open final
 1
 2
     on this thumb drive. There's three different ones.
 3
               THE COURT: And I have the Government's copy. It
     is dated the October 9, 2021. The opening slide is United
 4
 5
     States versus Bart Wade Reagor. Are these the slides the
 6
     Government intends to use in its opening statement?
 7
               MR. HAAG: Yes, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: And consistent with the Court's order,
 8
 9
     I'll now confirm that the Defendant has no objections to the
10
     use of this set of slides, at least as a demonstrative in
11
     opening statement?
12
               MR. COGDELL: Yes, sir.
13
               THE COURT: Okay. And now we are printing a copy
14
     of your slides, and this may clear up how the Order in
15
     Limine, the Court's bench order today intersects with that
16
     order to govern your opening statement.
17
               Mr. Cogdell, is it the PowerPoint labeled Reagor
18
     opening draft?
19
              MR. COGDELL: The one that says final, Your Honor.
20
     There should be three of them on there, two or three of them
21
     on there. It says final.
22
               COURTROOM DEPUTY: I'm only showing a draft, if you
23
     want to take this back.
24
              MR. COGDELL: Yeah. Let me take it back and
25
     download it again. I'm sorry, Your Honor.
```

1	THE COURT: No, I know. And I obviously don't want
2	to delve into anything that is an attorney/client privilege
3	document, so I want to make sure that I'm looking at the
4	right material.
5	And we'll we'll stand in recess for five minutes
6	while we track down that document, and then I'll reconcile
7	that with my notes, and
8	MR. COGDELL: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: then I'll give a final instruction
10	from the Court on opening statement, and then we can all get
11	back to work.
12	COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
13	(Recess.)
14	
15	
16	
17	(Further proceedings continued in Sealed Motions Hearing
18	Volume I of I, 10/11/2021.)
19	
20	
21	
22	* * * * *
23	
24	
25	

1	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
2	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
3	I further certify that the transcript fees format comply with
4	those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of
5	the United States.
6	
7	<u>s/Stacy Mayes Morrison</u> <u>11/10/2021</u> Stacy Mayes Morrison Date Official Court Reporter
9	
LO	
l 1	
12	
L3	
L4	
L 5	
16	
L7	
18	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	